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# Introduction

The Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) is a not-for-profit organization committed to making quality open standards for the global geospatial interoperability [1].

**OGC Vision:** A world in which everyone benefits from the use of geospatial information and supporting technologies.

**OGC Mission:** To advance the development and use of international standards and supporting services that promote geospatial interoperability. To accomplish this mission, OGC serves as the global forum for the collaboration of geospatial data / solution providers and users.

The OGC Standards Development Process [2] creates two types of standards: Abstract and Implementation standards. The purpose of the Abstract Specification [3] is to create and document a conceptual model sufficient enough to aid the creation of Implementation Standards. Implementation Standards are unambiguous technology platform specifications for implementation of industry-standard, software application programming interfaces.

The OGC uses a member and community based consensus process to define, test, edit, and approve standards for interfaces and encodings that enable interoperability of geospatial content, services, and applications.

The OGC produces multiple document types. The OGC Standards Baseline consists of the OGC documents at the highest level of consensus with the OGC Library providing additional information.

**OGC Standards Baseline**: The complete set of OGC Member approved Abstract Specifications, Standards including profiles and extensions, and Community Standards.

**OGC Library:** The OGC Standards Baseline, OGC Best Practices, OGC Engineering Reports, OGC White Papers, OGC Discussion Papers, OGC Policies and Procedures and the OGC Reference Model (ORM)

References for Section 1

* Open Geospatial Consortium   
  <http://www.opengeospatial.org/>
* OGC Technical Committee Policies and Procedures. <http://www.opengeospatial.org/ogc/policies>
* The OGC Abstract Specifications   
  <http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/as>

# The OGC Abstract Specification

## Overview of the Topics

The OGC Abstract Specification is comprised of a number of Topic volumes. This document (Topic 0) is an overview of the OGC Abstract Specification. Each Topic addresses a specific set of abstract models, such as for metadata or geometry, as a foundation unit upon which to build OGC standards. The complete set of Topic volumes collectively form the OGC Abstract Specification [2].

OGC Abstract Specification Topics may originate from within the OGC membership or from another authoritative Standards Development Organization (SDO) or may be joint standards activities between OGC and the authoritative SDO. An authoritative SDO is an organization which operates on a consensus basis to develop standards.

The Abstract Specification is broken into Topics in order to enable parallel development and maintenance of different topics by different Working Groups of the OGC membership. Figure 1 shows the Abstract Specification topics grouped into categories.



Figure 1. OGC Abstract Specification Topics

The current topic volumes are not all written at the same level of detail. Some are mature and some are less mature. Many have been harmonized with the work of ISO TC 211 – Geographic Information/Geomatics[[1]](#footnote-2). The level of maturity of a topic reflects the level of understanding and discussion occurred within the Technical Committee, often in collaboration with TC 211. Refer to the [OGC Technical Committee Policies and Procedures](http://docs.opengeospatial.org/pol/05-020r25/05-020r25.html) for more information on the OGC standards development process.

OGC Abstract Specifications are available at <http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/as>

## AS Topics: Space and Time

AS Topics related to space and time along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic 2 | Spatial Referencing by Coordinates | Joint OGC/ISO TC 211 document.  OGC CRS SWG Also known as ISO 19111 |
| Topic TBD | Spatial Referencing by ID | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19112 |
| Topic 19 | Linear Referencing | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19148 |
| Topic 21 | Discrete Global Grid Systems | OGC DGGS SWG |
| Topic TBD | Temporal schema | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19108 |

## AS Topics: Features, Coverages and Geometries

AS Topics related to features, coverages, and geometries along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic 1 | Feature Geometry (Spatial Schema) | Joint OGC/ISO TC 211 document.  OGC Simple Features SWG Also known as ISO 19107 |
| Topic TBD | Simple Features Access: Common | OGC Simple Features SWG Also known as ISO 19125-1 |
| Topic TBD | Schema for Moving Features | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19141 |
| Topic 6 | Schema for Coverage Geometry and Functions | Joint OGC/ISO TC 211 document.  OGC Coverages DWG Also known as ISO 19123-1 |
| Topic TBD | Tile Matrix Set | OGC WMS SWG |

## AS Topics: Semantics

AS Topic related to semantics along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic TBD | Rules for application schema | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19109 |
| Topic TBD | Ontology -- Part 1: Framework | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19150-1 |
| Topic TBD | Features and Geometries - Part 1 - Feature Models | OGC Simple Features SWG |

## AS Topics: Metadata and Quality

AS Topics related to metadata and quality along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic 11 | Metadata -- Part 1: Fundamentals | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19115-1 |
| Topic TBD | Metadata -- Part 2: Extensions for imagery and gridded data | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19115-2 |
| Topic 9 TBD | Data Quality | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19157 |

## AS Topics: Sensors and Observations

AS Topics related to sensors and observations along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic 20 | Observations and Measurements | Joint OGC/ISO TC 211 document.  OGC Simple Features SWG Also known as ISO 19156 |
| Topic TBD | SensorML (Conceptual Models) | SensorML SWG |
| Topic TBD | Imagery sensor models for geopositioning | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19130-1 |
| Topic TBD | Sensor Web Enablement Architecture |  |

## AS Topics: Services and Interfaces

AS Topics related to services and interfaces along with the group tasked with maintaining each standard are listed in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Topic | Topic Name | Maintenance Organization |
| Topic 12 | Service Architecture | ISO TC 211 document.  ISO 19119 |
| Topic 13 | Catalog Services | OGC Catalogue Services SWG |
| Topic 17 | Mobile Location Services | OGC Mobile Location Services DWG |
| Topic 18 | Geospatial Digital Rights Management Reference Model |  |

# Specification Architecting Guidelines

## Purpose of the Abstract Specification

The purpose of the Abstract Specification is to create and document a conceptual model that enables the creation of the consistent and interoperable, quality Implementation Standards.

Specific purposes of the Abstract Specification include:

* To relate software and system design to real world situations.
* To capture and precisely state requirements and geospatial domain knowledge so that all stakeholders may understand and agree on them.
* To describe the necessary elements to define a geospatial information system.
* To capture design decisions in a mutable form, separate from the requirements.
* To prompt development of prototypes and proof of concept implementations.
* To explore multiple derivative solutions economically.
* To master complexity inherent in geospatial data and relationships.

The Abstract Specification is used in all these capacities. Primarily, the abstract specification while being implementation-neutral provides robust technical concepts for discussing issues of interoperability.

## Relationship to external organizations

The OGC recognizes that the standards it develops depend upon connections with other Standards Developing Organizations (SDOs). This is exemplified in the Abstract Specification were several Topics are developed and maintained by external organizations. Of particular note is the relationship between OGC and TC211.

ISO TC 211 is a de-jure[[2]](#footnote-3) SDO whose focus is in the field of digital geographic information. Their work aims to establish a structured set of standards for information concerning objects or phenomena that are directly or indirectly associated with a location relative to the Earth. These standards may specify, for geographic information, methods, tools and services for data management (including definition and description), acquiring, processing, analyzing, accessing, presenting and transferring such data in digital/electronic form between different users, systems and locations. The work must provide links to appropriate standards for information technology and data where possible and provide a framework for the development of sector-specific applications using geographic data.

In the fall of 1998, OGC and ISO/TC211 signed an agreement establishing a Class A Liaison relationship that allows both organizations to take full advantage of the contributions of the other. Since then the two organizations have collaborated in a number of areas. These include incorporating ISO TC 211 documents as part of the OGC Abstract Specification as well as OGC providing OGC Implementation Standards to ISO for consideration for adoption as International Standards. The TC211/OGC coordinating group is called the Joint Advisory Group (JAG). This group meets during most OGC Technical Committee meetings.

OGC has formal relationships with other SDOs and recognizes that many fundamental principles which are part of the Abstract Specification originate and should be maintained outside the OGC. For instance, OGC Web Service standards rely upon basic web functions codified by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) and World Wide Web Consortium (W3C). Thus, OGC Abstract Specification topics may originate in Authoritative Standards Organizations as defined in the OGC Technical Committee Policies and Procedures (TC PnP) [05-020r26 or later].

## Approval of Abstract Specification Topics

Each Abstract Specification Topic is approved by the OGC Technical Committee and Planning Committee for adoption. The Topic might originate from an external organization, in which case members of the OGC propose the Topic for inclusion. A Topic originating in the OGC will be worked through the SWG process per the TC PnP. All Abstract Specification Topics are voted for approval using the same processes as other OGC standards per the TC PnP.

## Modular Spec

The OGC Specification Model - A Standard for Modular specifications (08-131r3) – also referred to as the “Mod Spec” standard – specifies desirable characteristics of a standards specification that will encourage implementations by minimizing difficulty determining requirements, mimicking implementation structure, and maximizing usability and interoperability.

The Modular Specifications for Modular Systems[[3]](#footnote-4) describes the logical structure behind the design of the OGC Mod Spec. The paradigm used during the writing of the specification was the view of writing a specification as part of a modular design. Most issues raised stem from a lack of understanding of the core paradigm explained in the Mod Spec standard.

## Conceptual Modeling

Conceptual Modeling addresses these questions

* What are the concepts of interest (things of importance) within the scope of the standard (universe of discourse)?
* What are the relationships between these concepts?
* What information is significant about each concept? What are their attributes or properties?
* What are the related concepts from outside the scope?

Conceptual models are independent of implementation technology specifics.

Conceptual modeling provides these benefits:

* Promotes consensus on the concepts, independent of implementation-specific standards
* Communicates the concepts and their intended meanings – easier than reading an encoding;
* Helps ensure compatibility between multiple implementations.

The choice of a modeling approach is optional in OGC standards and best practices. The decision is up to a submission team or SWG. The Unified Modeling Language (UML) has been used successfully by many standards developers for conceptual modeling. The OpenAPI approach has been successful in defining APIs with multiple language bindings.

## Core and Simple Standards

OGC recognizes that the best standards are as simple as possible. A luxury would be if “the simple standard” could always be the first specification developed. Ideally, specification development would iterate, progressively less complex models to creating simple standards aimed at satisfying the largest user base possible, while also recognizing the need for extensibility of a simple approach to address more comprehensive needs. The OGC approach to this iterative development is based on the Abstract Specification and the Modular Specification.

The market has to innovate with freedom. Through standardization, multiple organizations facing the same problem solve it in the same way. When this does not occur, this result is a “tension” between the different alternatives. where a common set of requirements must be derived and be as inclusive as possible of the solutions, while still providing the least complex solution to the problem.

The approach of iterative development is reflected in Figure 1. The process recognizes the need for broad understanding of the scope of applications when developing a new standard. Complexity may be needed for some applications. Simple is defined based upon the problem a community is addressing. The iterative process develops a well-defined problem space with use cases and a roadmap for specification development. Then the specification developers and initial implementers can focus on “simple first.” This requires discipline to reduce the number the edge cases that are addressed in initial work. Focusing on the main cases while designing for extensibility is an art. A specification that prohibits restrictions as future extensions violates the OGC Modular Specification policy.



Figure 1. Simple Ain’t Easy

## OGC Innovation Guidance

In 2014, the OGC Planning Committee endorsed this statement regarding innovation and the development of OGC Standards:

“In order to simplify technical complexity and reduce implementation costs, the OGC strives to ensure harmonization within the OGC standards baseline. In an unchanging world harmonization would be easy. However, given the realities of the diversity that comes about due to changing technology and markets, OGC must address the innovator’s dilemma of maintaining the current OGC standards baseline while simultaneously developing standards to support evolving and potentially disruptive technologies, community needs and market trends. The OGC must balance maintenance, adaptation and evolution of its standards and associated Best Practices in order to address technology change, market change, and the complexity of collaboration between different communities.”

To support this challenging environment, OGC:

* Will encourage harmonization of its standards;
* Will extend or adapt its present standards baseline, or work with its partners to adapt or extend their standards;
* May advance new standards that overlap with or diverge from existing standards, along with guidance regarding how to evaluate and select among these options;
* May develop harmonization techniques such as bridging, brokers, or facades to achieve interoperability within and across communities of interest;
* Will foster an environment that encourages fair consideration of all submissions.

The Innovation Guidance impacts the Abstract Specification in two ways.

1. Abstract Specification Topics must also be reviewed for relevance and currency with respect to current technology trends. The Abstract Specification may not be revised as frequently as implementation standards, but it must not remain static.
2. The Abstract Specification must be suitably fundamental in concept to provide a consistent foundation for even diverging implementation standards that solve the same problem. For instance, two methods to serve feature data to a Web browser should still both rely upon the same underlying Feature Model.

## OGC Reference Model (ORM)

The OGC consensus process has resulted in the adoption of numerous Abstract Specification topic volumes (described below) and numerous implementation standards. Further, through the work of the membership, much of the ongoing effort of the OGC is harmonized with the work of other standards organizations, such as ISO, IETF, OASIS, and the W3C. In order to capture the essence of the work of the OGC in a structured manner, the OGC has developed and continues to maintain the OGC Reference Model (ORM)

The ORM supersedes the technology and architecture sections of the original 1996 *OpenGIS Guide*. The OGC Reference Model (ORM) provides an architecture framework and reference model that documents the current OGC Technical Baseline. The ORM also documents the current thinking regarding the technology and interoperability for on-going work in the OGC.

The ORM has the following purposes:

* To provide the basis – or common semantic - for communicating, coordinating and understanding the work of the OGC.
* Provide the foundation to Update/Replace the OpenGIS Guide.
* Describes the OGC requirements baseline.
* Describes the OGC architecture framework through a series of non-overlapping viewpoints: including existing and future elements.
* To promote the development of domain-specific interoperability architectures by providing examples.

The ORM is based on the Reference Model for Open Distributed Processing (RM-ODP, ISO/IEC 10746), a widely used international standard for architecting open, distributed processing systems. The *RM-ODP* provides an overall conceptual framework for building distributed systems in an incremental manner. The *ORM* provides an overall conceptual framework for *building geoprocessing into* distributed systems in an incremental manner.

Regular updates of the ORM are required for maintenance in describing the OGC specifications.

## References for Section 3

* Open Geospatial Consortium, 2005. Topic 0, Abstract Specification Overview, Wayland, Massachusetts. Available at [www.opengeospatial.org](http://www.opengeospatial.org) ..
* OMG Document ptc/13-08-17 UML 2013: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/20131001/UML.xmi>

# Future Work

There is much work remaining in the definition and approval of standards that enable interoperability for geospatial content and services. In order to continue this work with a solid foundation, the OGC Abstract Specification will continue to be a living, evolving set of documents.
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